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Rembrandt and his Circle: Seventeenth-Century Dutch Paint Media Re-examined

RAYMOND WHITE AND JO KIRBY

Seventeenth-century commentators, writing shortly after Rembrandt’s death in 1669, noted certain features of the artist’s painting practice; disappointingly few had anything to say about his painting methods or materials. This is perhaps not too surprising: treatises on painting, like those of Joachim von Sandrart or Samuel van Hoogstraten, whose books date from 1675 and 1678 respectively, were far more concerned with the academic and theoretical aspects of painting, such as Rembrandt’s use of chiaroscuro and colour, for example, than with the practical. Although there are interesting comments on materials and other technical matters in Samuel van Hoogstraten’s book, he made no detailed observations on Rembrandt’s painting practice, despite the fact that he was Rembrandt’s pupil during the 1640s.

The features of Rembrandt’s painting technique most frequently remarked upon, apart from colour and chiaroscuro, were his brushwork and his impasto. Filippo Baldinucci, writing in 1686, drew on the words of another of Rembrandt’s pupils, the Dane Bernhardt Keil (who studied with him between 1642 and 1644), for his information to describe these characteristics. According to Baldinucci, Rembrandt sometimes spent two or three months on a portrait because, as soon as the first work was dry, he would work on it again until, in some places, the paint was little less than half a finger thick. Arnold Houbraken, himself a pupil of Hoogstraten between 1675 and 1678, ascribed the thickness of the impasto, particularly in Rembrandt’s late works, to excessive speed of working. Whatever the speed at which Rembrandt worked, the precise nature of his materials and methods played their part in the effects which so impressed contemporary critics. The slowness of working described by Baldinucci, and thus Keil, might indicate careful practice as well as the artist’s labouring towards a particular effect.

Not one of these authors, Rembrandt’s pupils among them, commented on the overall soundness of his technique in terms of the way in which he combined his ingredients. Rembrandt used linseed, or occasionally walnut, or oil, sometimes heat-bodied: analysis has revealed no incompatible medium mixtures, no additions of resinous materials to give added transparency or gloss, or to aid drying. As a result very few, if any, paint film disturbances are apparent in his paintings. The pigments he used regularly were not in themselves remarkable; the combination of pigments, however, played a significant part in the translucency of his glazes, the quality of his impasto and, indeed, the soundness of the paint film. Chalk was occasionally used to give body and translucency to glaze-like layers without altering their colour. Small quantities of azurite or smalt, which are good driers, were added to pigments, like the red and yellow lakes or bone black, which are poor driers, without affecting the overall colour of the paint layer. Smalt appears to have been used to give bulk to thick glazes containing lake pigments as well.
as to assist in their drying, which would otherwise be extremely slow. Rembrandt did use the poorly drying Cassel earth, which is obtained from lignite or peat deposits, but it was always mixed with other pigments including ochres, which are good driers.6

Translucent shadows and impastoed highlights are just two of the features occurring in Rembrandt’s work which could have been accomplished by additions or modifications to the paint medium rather than by – or in addition to – careful combination of pigments. These same features may be found in the work of other Dutch painters; they may or may not have been achieved by methods similar to those of Rembrandt. In order to set his practice in context, it is logical to look at the work of other painters in his immediate circle.

Painters in Rembrandt’s circle
Both Rembrandt and Jan Lievens studied with the Amsterdam painter Pieter Lastman, Lievens for about two years before 1621, Rembrandt for only about six months, perhaps around 1623–4. Lievens was closely associated with Rembrandt in Leiden during the late 1620s and up to 1631 or so. During this period his works are difficult to distinguish from those of Rembrandt stylistically and they may on occasion have worked together. After 1631–2, when Rembrandt moved to Amsterdam and Lievens went to England and subsequently Antwerp, their styles became very different. Anna and the Blind Tobit (NG 4189), dating from about 1630, is one of the earliest of Rembrandt’s works examined, as far as analysis of the paint medium is concerned.7 Both the works by Lievens examined during the current study date from the period when he was in Antwerp (1635 to early 1644): the Self Portrait (NG 2864; Fig. 1) was probably painted in about 1638; A Landscape with Tobias and the Angel (NG 72) dates from his last years there.8

Lastman was a renowned painter in his day, painting principally religious and mythological subjects; Juno discovering Jupiter with Io (NG 6272), signed and dated 1618, shows the careful detail typical of the work from his studio (Fig. 2).9 He influenced the work of both Rembrandt and Lievens, but these painters would have learnt the basic elements of their craft from their first masters in Leiden. Similarly, many of Rembrandt’s own pupils had already received their preliminary training with another master. In Rembrandt’s studio they assisted in the production of paintings in his style and assimilated his manner of painting (as Rembrandt and Lievens had done in their turn in Lastman’s studio); later on in their careers, when they were masters in their own right, their styles frequently changed.10 It is thus debatable how long-lasting the influence from master to pupil would be as far as details of technical procedure are concerned.

Of the painters whose works are discussed in the present study, Ferdinand Bol, Govert Flinck, Gerbrand van den Eeckhout and Jan Victors all seem to have been in Rembrandt’s studio in the 1630s.
Ferdinand Bol may have acquired his basic skills as a painter in Dordrecht with Jacob Gerritsz. Cuyp, or possibly in Utrecht with Abraham Bloemaert. He was already describing himself as a painter in Dordrecht in 1635, shortly before he entered Rembrandt’s studio in Amsterdam. 11 It is assumed that he worked there until about 1641–2, becoming an independent painter shortly thereafter. Of the two paintings studied, An Astronomer (NG 679; Fig. 3) dates from 1652, some ten years after his period of work with Rembrandt. The Portrait of a Young Lady with a Fan (NG 5656; Plate 1) is slightly earlier, dating from the mid to late 1640s. Like Bol, Govert Flinck had trained elsewhere, in Leeuwarden with Lambert Jacobsz., before joining Rembrandt’s studio as an assistant in the early 1630s. By 1636, roughly when Bol joined Rembrandt’s studio, Flinck was signing paintings in his own right; Self Portrait aged Twenty-four (NG 4068) was painted in 1639. 12

It is not known exactly when Gerbrand van den Eckhout and Jan Victors were pupils in Rembrandt’s studio. Eckhout’s earliest surviving painting dates from 1641, thus he probably served his apprenticeship with Rembrandt, with whom he seems to have developed a friendship, from the middle of the 1630s until about 1640/1. 13 If so, he would have been Bol’s contemporary. The guild portrait, Four Officers of the Amsterdam Coopers and Wine-rackers’ Guild (NG 1459), dated 1657, and the somewhat later Rebekah and Eliezer at the Well (NG 6535), 14 dated 1661, were both painted perhaps as much as twenty years after Eckhout had left Rembrandt’s studio. Victors similarly was probably a member of Rembrandt’s studio at much the same time, during the second half of the 1630s. 15 A Village Scene with a Cobbler (NG 1312) dates from between 1648 and 1651.

Unlike Bol or Flinck, for example, who came to Rembrandt as assistants, Nicolaes Maes learnt the major part of the craft of painting from him. He was probably with Rembrandt from the late 1640s as he returned to Dordrecht, his place of birth, by 1653. 16 A Woman scraping Parsnips, with a Child standing by her (NG 159) and Interior with a Sleeping Maid and her Mistress (NG 207) both date from 1655. Little is known about the life and career of Willem Drost, but it is thought that he trained with Rembrandt towards the end of the 1640s and the first part of the 1650s, that is, at approximately the same time as Maes. His early works are strongly influenced by Rembrandt; Portrait of a Young Woman with her Hands Folded on a Book (NG 237), which is attributed to the artist, is probably dated around 1653/4. 17

Not all the paintings which, from stylistic evidence, appear to have been produced by artists working within Rembrandt’s circle have a firm attribution. A Young Man and a Girl playing Cards (NG 1247;
Plate 2), which may date from the early to mid-1650s, was previously ascribed to Nicolaes Maes, but this is now thought to be incorrect. A Study of an Elderly Man in a Cap (NG 2539) was painted by a seventeenth-century artist with, apparently, some understanding of Rembrandt’s painting methods: the brown silica-containing ground is similar to that found in several paintings by Rembrandt. The artists responsible for A Man seated reading at a Table in a Lofty Room (NG 3214) and A Seated Man with a Stick (NG 51) are also unknown.\(^{18}\)

The choice of drying oil
The drying oils most widely used during the seventeenth century appear to have been linseed and walnut oils. The use of poppyseed oil is mentioned more than once in the compilation of practical information obtained by Theodore Turquet de Mayerne from painters, including some from the Low Countries, visiting the English court where he was a physician between 1620 and 1646. According to de Mayerne, poppyseed oil was used there for flower pieces and similar works; it was particularly good for white or blue pigments.\(^{19}\) At the end of the century the painter Wilhelm Beurs described poppyseed oil as being better than either walnut or linseed oil for whites.\(^{20}\) Despite this, its presence has yet to be confirmed in a seventeenth-century Dutch school painting.\(^{21}\)

Walnut oil was recommended for use with white pigments in particular because it did not yellow so much, in the early stages of drying at least.\(^{22}\) For the same reason, it was also recommended for blues and for use with light colours such as flesh paint.\(^{23}\)

Analysis has suggested that Rembrandt himself tended to use linseed oil to a far greater extent than walnut, for pale colours and dark; when walnut oil was used it was not necessarily restricted to paler or cooler colours, although this is the case in perhaps the majority of instances.\(^{24}\) Unmodified linseed oil was used in all the samples from Anna and the Blind Tobit examined, although the possibility of the presence of some walnut oil in the white paint of the sky cannot be ruled out. Linseed oil was also the preferred medium of other artists in Rembrandt’s circle, including both Lastman and Lievens, as the results summarised in the Table (p. 78). Linseed oil, not heat-bodied, was found in a highlight of the sitter’s yellow garment near the lower right-hand side of Lievens’s Self Portrait, for example; it was also used in a cool white highlight on the chair’s seat (Fig. 1).

Among Rembrandt’s former pupils, too, linseed oil was used to a far greater extent than walnut. Govert Flinck’s Self Portrait aged Twenty-four dates from a period when he had been established as an independent painter for some three years, but his practice still resembles that of Rembrandt himself in that linseed oil alone was found in areas of both the olive-green background and the sitter’s black robe. Gerbrand van den Eeckhout, Nicolaes Maes and Jan Victors, too, appear to have shared the general preference for its use, even in areas of white or light-coloured paint.\(^{25}\) In the case of the dark brown paint of the wooden shed housing the cobbler’s work-bench on the left of Jan Victors’s Village Scene with a Cobbler, not only was the medium found to be linseed oil, but the chromatogram obtained also showed the enhanced formation of an azelaic acid scission product and reduced oleate peaks typical of the presence of iron oxide minerals. Examination of a sample of the paint under the microscope had indeed suggested the presence of brown ferruginous earth pigments.

Few instances of the use of walnut oil were observed in the paintings examined. Unlike Rembrandt himself, the painters of his circle appear to have followed the recommendations of the literary sources to a far greater extent, as walnut oil was generally only found in white and light-coloured paints. It was detected in both the paintings by Ferdinand Bol examined, in the white paint of the sleeve cuffs of the sitter in each case. The somewhat warmer white paint of the cuff in An Astronomer (see Fig. 3) might conceivably contain a little linseed oil also: perhaps the lead white pigment was ground with walnut oil as prescribed, but a little linseed was added during painting.

Extraction and further treatment of drying oils
As a background to a study of the paint medium, it is useful to consider the methods by which oils and
other possible ingredients were prepared in the seventeenth century. The first step in the process was the expression of the oil. Linseed and walnuts, like most of the kernels used for medicinal or culinary purposes, yielded their oil relatively easily. The oil could be expressed using a screw press after grinding the raw material in a mortar; the pulvérised matter was then wrapped in a cloth and placed (according to some sources in a loose-bottomed box) between the two plates of the press. The expressed oil was collected in a vessel below the press. It was recommended that everything should be warmed beforehand. This is not a particularly efficient process and the yield of oil is not large; for the production of oil on a larger scale a ‘stamper’ press, rather like that illustrated by Georg Andreas Boeckler in his Theatrum machinarum novum of 1662 and first developed in the seventeenth century, might have been used (Fig. 4). The process of extraction was essentially the same: the seed was pounded by the iron-tipped pestles powered by a water wheel or windmill. Bags of the warmed, crushed seed were placed between two wedge-shaped plates inserted into an oak chest, which were forced together by the pressure of a wedge driven against them by the action of a falling hammer, thus expressing the oil. This, too, gave a low yield, but the method was in use until the development of the hydraulic press in the nineteenth century.

Further treatment of the oil was usual before it was ready for use. A number of writers, including de MAYERNE, describe the washing of the oil. The oil was shaken with water, preferably rain water, in a separating vessel with a tap and left to settle; the oil layer was taken off and the process was repeated. This would remove by hydration material (‘break’ material in modern terminology) that would otherwise precipitate during any subsequent heat treatment, by rendering it less soluble in the oil. Such nitrogen-containing substances would also be likely to form yellow-brown condensation products. In a number of recipes cited by de MAYERNE, the oil was first filtered through, or added to, sand, which would no doubt remove a certain amount of plant mucilage. Salt and alum might be added with the water; the addition of alum to water would produce a weakly acidic solution which could have the property of coagulating suspended mucilaginous material. Bread might be added to absorb the water, as described by CHRISTOPHER LOVE MORLEY in a recipe for linseed oil for use in varnish preparation. Morley’s book, Collectanea Chymica Leydenia, published in 1684, is based on lectures he attended while studying in Leiden; the recipe for linseed oil is ascribed to the chemist Jacobus Le Mort.

Heat pre-polymerised oils
Further treatments included bleaching and thickening the oil, processes which are not necessarily separate: if the oil was left in the sun to bleach for any length of time it would also thicken, as the instructions quoted by de MAYERNE and other authors make plain. Bleaching (essentially fading by light) affects chiefly the fugitive plant colouring matters, such as carotenoids and chlorophyll, present in the virgin oil as a result of the extraction process. This is a separate phenomenon from the yellowing processes that occur during the ageing of oil films. Thickening of the oil was carried out by two, chemically quite distinct, processes. Oil placed in direct sunlight for a reasonable length of time, with periodic stirring, thickens by a process akin to the natural thickening or drying process which takes place when a drying oil is mixed with pigment and spread out in a thin film; that is, polymerisation is carried out by joining the individ-
ual glyceride molecules through peroxide linkages. Stirring the oil allows air, and thus oxygen, to be readily available. The polymerisation process thus begins before the oil is used for painting.

If a thickened oil was not desired, it was necessary either to remove the bleached oil before it had thickened too much, or to carry out the bleaching process at a time of year when the sun was not too strong: March was suggested in some sources. Otherwise, in the words of a recipe in a manuscript associated with Edward Norgate’s Miniatura, compiled around 1630, ‘Fatt Oyle is but Lynseed Oyle exposed to the Ayer and soe it becometh thicker, yet somtimes you shall see it soe thick that you may cut it almost like butter.’

Sometimes quicklime, calcium oxide, was added to the oil before bleaching or heat treatment; this would neutralise free fatty acids. It would also have the beneficial side-effect of removing any plant colouring materials present, if these had not been removed earlier. During heat treatment, the most common additives were lead salts, incorporated to improve the drying properties of the oil. Norgate, in a recipe quoted by de Mayerne, recommended that lead white should be mixed with the oil, together with bread-crumbs and sawdust (which would absorb any remaining plant mucilage and lecithin), stirring the ingredients together five or six times a day for the four or five days that the oil was to be left in the sun. John Smith obtained a pale, clear oil by adding two ounces of lintharge to a quart of linseed oil and leaving it in the sun for a month, stirring it twice a week.

The other method of bodying the oil was to heat it, usually with the addition of lead salts or other driers: John Smith, for example, recommended heating the oil with finely powdered lintharge for one hour until it was ‘almost of the thickness of Treacle’. The mixture was cooled and allowed to settle before the clear, but quite strongly coloured, oil was poured off.

Other sources recommended suspending the lintharge or other drier in a bag above the bottom of the vessel in which heating was carried out so that it did not burn. Lead salts were not the only driers recommended: walnut oil might be boiled with azurite or smalt for use with whites. Oil boiled by direct heating, without stirring, relies upon bond formation between the glyceride molecules under circumstances which essentially exclude the wholesale participation of oxygen molecules. The bonds formed are thus essentially carbon–carbon, rather than carbon–oxygen. A modern stand oil is a refinement of this process in that it is carried out on a larger scale and under more closely anaerobic conditions, quite often under nitrogen; conditions and length of processing can be varied to give oils of specific viscosities.

According to seventeenth-century sources, no more than a quart (just over a litre) of oil appears to have been heated at a time, usually over gentle heat for roughly half an hour to an hour. Care was generally taken not to heat the oil too strongly, which would deepen the colour.

In documentary sources such modified oils are described as drying oils. Heat pre-polymerisation has several effects on the oil. Drying properties are improved and are further enhanced by the addition of metal salts (usually those of lead) during the process. The refractive index of the oil is increased, thus reducing light scattering at the pigment-medium interface and thereby increasing the saturation of the pigment colour; the paint film may also have a glossier appearance. The pigment is less liable to sink in the oil film, which itself decreases less in volume than a conventional oil film, reducing the amount of wrinkling that may occur. White paints appear less discoloured because, as the polysaturated fatty acids initially present in the paint film are destroyed by the formation of carbon–carbon single bonds, there is less scope for the formation of chromophoric and auxochromic groups, the presence of which give the yellow appearance to the film.

Analysis has suggested that the artists of Rembrandt’s circle used heat-bodied oils principally in two circumstances: to obtain an impasto (in a highlight, for example) and to aid the drying of pigments, like lake pigments and black, which were poor driers.

The relatively stiff paint needed for an impasto could be obtained by using an oil thickened as described above, which gives a soft appearance and a relatively yielding paint: it could be also be obtained by using a high proportion of pigment with unmodified oil, which, over a period of time, results in a dry, hard paint. In Rembrandt’s Belshazzar’s Feast (NG 6350), painted around 1635, a detail of which is shown in Fig. 5, examples of both can be seen in the paint of Belshazzar’s cloak. A heat-bodied linseed oil was used for the white impasted paint near Belshazzar’s brooch, while the hard, brittle, yellow impasto of the cloak trimmings gave no indication of such pre-polymerisation (Figs. 6a and 6b).

The painters of Rembrandt’s circle also obtained a desired impasto or textured paint by the use of a heat-bodied oil, or by bodying with pigment, or, indeed, both. A heat-bodied oil was used for a cream-coloured, rounded highlight on the path in the foreground on the left of Lievens’s Landscape with Tobias and the Angel. On the other hand, the white lace scar of the young woman in A Young Man and a Girl playing Cards (Plate 2, p. 66) had quite a thick, textured appearance, but contained unmodified linseed oil: in this case the pigment used gave the paint the necessary body. The yellow highlight paint of the orange-brown silk curtain on the extreme right-hand
side of Ferdinand Bol's *Lady with a Fan* (Plate 1, p. 66) was observed to have been bound with heat pre-polymerised linseed oil, but the paint of the curtain has quite a pronounced texture in some areas and examination of a sample taken from a pale greyish-yellow impasto in the foreground indicated that the thick, rather poorly bound paint contained smalt mixed with lead-tin yellow. It is possible that, like Rembrandt, Bol added smalt for its textural qualities: its properties as a drier would hardly have been required.

Occasionally the results of medium analysis suggest the use of a partially heat-bodied oil; an example is the thick blue-grey sky paint near the top edge of Jan Victors's *Village Scene with a Cobbler*. This result could be interpreted in several different ways. One explanation might be that the pigments were indeed ground with a partially heat-bodied linseed oil. A more likely explanation is that the pigments were ground in ordinary unmodified linseed oil; the heat-bodied oil was added during painting. Examination of a sample of the paint under the microscope showed that the greyish-blue paint layer was composed of

---

**Fig. 5** Rembrandt, *Belshazzar’s Feast* (NG 6350), c. 1635. Canvas, 167.6 x 209.2 cm. Detail showing Belshazzar’s cloak.

**Fig. 6** Total ion chromatograms (TIC) of saponified and methylated samples of paint from Rembrandt’s *Belshazzar’s Feast*.

The instrument was a Kratos MS25 Gas Chromatograph-Mass Spectrometer System (GC–MS); electron impact mode (Ei+), 70 eV, 1 sec/decade scan-rate, 25 metre 0.33mm bore silica column (bonded phase methyl silicone type); temperature programmed 110°C x 7°C min⁻¹ to 310°C. Helium carrier gas.

(a) White impasto paint of Belshazzar’s cloak, near brooch. The dimethyl seburate peak is more pronounced (relative to dimethyl azelate) in comparison to that in chromatogram (b). The azelate-seburate ratio of 2.73 indicates the probable use of a heat pre-polymerised drying oil.

(b) TIC of yellow impasto of trimmings of cloak.
lead white mixed with fragments of very discoloured smalt and bone black. It is therefore conceivable that, assuming that the colours had been ground in oil separately and then mixed for use, the heat-bodied oil had been added to the black paint to help it to dry. Marshall Smith describes how certain pigments, including lakes and bone black, should be ground in linseed oil, but tempered on the palette using drying oil. There is also some documentary evidence for the use of a mixture of oils: John Smith, for example, describes the mixing of ordinary linseed and heat-bodied linseed oils in certain proportions; the mixture was then used to temper the prepared colours.

Among the paintings studied, several instances were found of pre-polymerised oil being used with pigments that are poor driers: Pierre Lebrun, in the Brussels manuscript, wrote that drying oil heated with litharge was very good for drying pigments such as lake and black. While it must be assumed that often the oil would have been heated with a lead salt, for example, to increase its drying properties, it is not easy to detect the driers themselves, particularly when the paint includes lead-containing pigments. It has apparently been possible to detect the presence of lead driers in the grounds of certain French paintings of the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries.

Driers might also be incorporated into the paint on the palette, as Rembrandt's practice indicates: both de Mayerne and John Smith refer to the use of powdered calcined copperas (de Mayerne specifically mentions white copperas, zinc sulphate, ZnSO₄·7H₂O; copperas nowadays generally refers to the iron (II) sulphate, FeSO₄·7H₂O, which is white when calcined). Verdriggs, minium and umber were also recommended. Marshall Smith recommended the use of ground glass, which was a not uncommon suggestion and interesting in view of Rembrandt's use of smalt in pigment mixtures for its drying properties, mentioned above. A drier, mixed into the paint or incorporated with the oil during preparation, must have been used in the black paint of the sitter's sleeve in the Portrait of a Young Woman with her Hands Folded on a Book, attributed to Willem Drost. The paint was in good condition, with no evidence of cold flow or shrinkage, yet the linseed oil identified as the binding material had not undergone any significant heat-bodiness to assist in the drying of the pigment.

Certain pigments still did not dry successfully even with the aid of a heat-bodied oil. Asphaltic or bituminous pigments are notoriously bad driers, encouraging long-term cold flow of the paint film; this results in a characteristic craquelure or 'crocodile'. These defects can be seen in the black paint of the sitter's gown in Ferdinand Bol's Astronomer (Fig. 3). The principal component of the medium was heat pre-polymerised linseed oil, with a small amount of conifer resin. The treatment the oil had received, however, was insufficient on its own to assist drying, for the paint was also found to contain chemical components associated with triterpenes, suggesting the presence of asphaltum or bitumen (Figs. 7a–d). Under the microscope, brown translucent particles of low refractive index, characteristic of asphaltum, were indeed found to be present in a sample of the paint, mixed with bone black. Philippe de La Hyre, writing at the beginning of the eighteenth century, comments that asphaltum is convenient to use, but never dries without a strong drier; for this reason, once it has been prepared it can be kept for several years.

The black paint of the background of Jan Lievens's Self Portrait (Fig. 1), which shows rather similar cold-flow defects, was found to contain a significant amount of a softwood pitch: fragments of an organic brown pigment (presumably pitch) could be seen, mixed with black pigment, in a sample of the paint examined under the microscope and the paint medium, heat-bodied linseed oil, had a distinctly yellowish appearance. Like asphaltum, pitch is a particularly poor drier and the use of this pigment was undoubtedly responsible for the shrinkage.

Additions of resinous compounds to the paint medium
Unlike Rembrandt, several of the painters in his circle appear occasionally to have added small amounts of pine resin, in the form of varnish, to the medium. Many of the recipes for varnish preparation given by de Mayerne refer to the use of Venice turpentine (obtained from the larch, Larix decidua Miller) rather than colophony (pine resin, the solid material remaining after the distillation of spirits or oil of turpentine); the use of Venice turpentine by painters in the Netherlands in the mid-seventeenth century has yet to be confirmed, however. Later in the century, Nicolas L'Emery writes that the turpentine commonly used was 'called, improperly, Venice Turpentine', but it was in France at least, obtained from species of pine (Pinus spp.) and fir (Abies alba Miller), as well as larch. Small quantities of other resins, such as sandarac or mastic, are sometimes mentioned in the recipes collected by de Mayerne, but as yet these have not been identified in the paint medium. Both spirit varnishes and those prepared using linseed or walnut oil were available; given the tiny quantities in which the additions of varnish, and thus pine resin, were made to the paint medium, however, it is impossible to say which would have been used in any particular case where resin has been detected.

The principal reason for adding turpentine resin varnish to the paint appears to have been to give increased transparency and gloss to the paint film,
Fig. 7 Sample of saponified and methylated black paint, exhibiting shrinkage, from Ferdinand Bol’s Astronomer, examined using a VG Biotech Trio 2000 GC–MS. A 25 metre SGE HT5 bonded phase, 0.53 mm bore capillary column was used (column temperature: 35°C (0.5 min) × 25°C min⁻¹ to 120°C (1.0 min) × 7°C min⁻¹ to 300°C). MS detection was carried out with a source temperature of 280°C, EI⁺ mode at 70 eV, 0.9 a.m.u. sec⁻¹ mass scan (m/z range: 650–40).

(a) TIC showing fatty acid methyl ester profile suggesting a heat-bodied linseed oil, together with minor components in the diterpenoid resin region and the triterpane area.

(b) (Above) Hapenogram (ion trace for m/z = 191, a typical base peak in the fragmentation pattern of hopanes) and (below) TIC detail of the diterpenoid and triterpane regions.

(c) Mass spectrum of a component at scan number 1521, indicating a triterpane bisnorhopane of molecular weight 384. The component at scan number 1570 gave a similar spectrum, but with a molecular ion of m/z = 398, clearly indicating a higher carbon number homologue, norhopane.

(d) Lower spectrum: mass spectrum of component at scan number 1254, indicating the presence of methyl dehydroabietate, molecular weight 314. Upper spectrum: mass spectrum of component at scan number 1254, indicating the presence of methyl 7-oxodehydroabietate, molecular weight 328.
particular in glazes and for areas of shadow. John Smith (admittedly referring to house painting) describes how the addition of turpentine (that is, rosin), dissolved in oil of turpentine, to pigments ground in oil enabled them to dry with a shiny, smooth, glass-like surface. Marshall Smith recommends the use of walnut oil mixed with varnish for glazing draperies, specifically with red lake pigments, although varnish or drying oil could also be used. The addition of varnish was also said to speed up or improve drying. In the short term this might be so; the film would gel more rapidly, but its long-term effect might be more equivocal. Only a very small amount of varnish (a few drops to the paint as it was mixed for use, perhaps) appears to have been added.

A good example of this practice is the final glaze on the red dress of the girl in A Young Man and a Girl playing Cards (Plate 2), which was found to contain linseed oil, with some pine resin (indicated by the presence of 7-oxodehydroabietic acid) to provide extra gloss and richness. The main body colour of the dress appears to be painted in a mixture of red pigments, including transparent red lake pigment, a mixture similar to that often used by Rembrandt himself.

Similarly, the dark green foliage paint on the right-hand side of Pieter Lastman’s Juno discovering Jupiter with Io (Fig. 2) was found to contain some pine resin as well as unmodified linseed oil. The paint, which was quite rich and lustrous, contained the blue basic copper carbonate pigment azurite, with some lead-tin yellow and a little translucent yellow lake pigment; the apparent fading of the latter contributed to the pronounced blue-green colour of the foliage. The use of a little resin in the paint of a shadow, to give increased depth and translucency, is seen in Eckhout’s Rebekah and Eliezer at the Well.

Because only small additions of resinous material were made to the paint it is necessary to ensure that residual traces of any varnish removed during conservation treatment, for example, are not mistaken for constituents of the paint medium. Conifer resin components apparently present in the white paint of a handkerchief tassel in the Portrait of a Young Woman attributed to Willem Drost were found to derive from traces of varnish on the paint surface.

The addition of resinous components to the medium did not always result in a stable paint film. The thick, very dark brownish-green paint of Lievens’s Landscape with Tobias and the Angel showed slight shrinkage. The uppermost layer of paint was found to contain a mixture of pigments, including large particles of azurite, with ochres, black and other pigments, in a distinctly brownish-yellow medium. Gas-chromatography gave evidence for the use of pine resin in the paint, but was unable to characterise it any further. The shrinkage could be explained by the presence of softwood pitch, the cause of the shrinkage in the background of Lieven’s Self Portrait, which might also account for the discoloured medium. It could also be due to the presence of crude pine oleoresin – that is, as bled from the tree, rich in essential oil – in the medium. This would be equivalent to diluting the medium with turpentine oil, which would diffuse from the matrix and be lost by surface evaporation. The drying oil-rich film, containing the residual pine resin solids, would gradually shrink as a result. Hoogstraten wrote that Lievens ‘was expert in seeking wonders in the mixed pigments, varnishes and oils’; if this is true, it seems that, on this occasion, his experimentation did not lead to a technically sound conclusion.

Ferdinand Bol made use of a rather more unusual resinous material in his Portrait of a Young Lady with a Fan (Plate 1). The rich, glaze-like paint of the brownish shadow at the top edge of the orange-brown curtain was in some ways reminiscent of an oleo-aphistatic glaze, but without the paint-film defects associated with the liberal use of such a material. The gas chromatogram (Fig. 8) showed that the principal component of the paint medium was heat-bodied linseed oil; the diterpenoid components, including methyl Δ8-isopimarate, also present suggested that Bol used amber varnish in the paint.

Amber, like many other painters’ materials, was used to alleviate the symptoms of a number of unpleasant medical disorders. The tincture – the alcohol-soluble fraction – of amber (which de Muyerene used in the preparation of a varnish with oil of lavender) and the oil distilled from the solid resin were said to be good for apoplexy, epilepsy and similar maladies. Amber varnish was not particularly easy to prepare because of the hardness of the resin; the recipe noted by Christopher Love Morley during his studies in Leiden consisted of heating the coarsely powdered amber with either linseed or walnut oil until it had liquefied and was black in colour. It was then poured onto a damp stone and allowed to cool, after which it was powdered and cooked with oil, previously heated with bread (to remove water) and chalk (to remove free acids). After filtering, the varnish was ready for use. De Muyerene, who made a number of attempts at preparing amber varnishes, gave a very similar recipe; the resulting varnish, he said, was red in colour and used by lute makers.

Because of its strong colour, amber varnish appears to have been used mostly for musical instruments and similar items. De Muyerene referred to its use in Italian painting practice (specifically by Orazio Gentileschi and his daughter Artemisia): because it flowed well, it was apparently mixed with two or three parts of walnut oil and applied over the first layer of paint, or dead colouring, thus helping the
paint applied subsequently to spread. Similarly, a drop of oil of amber mixed with the colours already ground in oil on the palette allowed them to spread more easily and gave them a glass-like lustre. The strong red colour was said not to affect the light flesh colours to which it was added. In the case of Bol’s portrait, it seems likely that the varnish was used for its red colour, rather than for its spreading properties.

Diluents
Although it is not possible to detect the remains of volatile diluents, such as oil or spirits of turpentine and lavender, essential oils of this type would undoubtedly have been used for moistening or cleaning brushes, if not for diluting paint. Turpentine was easily distilled from the crude resin over a gentle fire, or even hot water. On a larger scale, as would be needed for painting purposes, the oil was distilled by heating the crude gum turpentine in a large copper kettle: Fig. 9 shows the apparatus used by Willem Pekstok in Amsterdam, who distilled turpentine from 1658. Great care appears to have been taken to regulate the temperature of the distillation, using a gentle heat and adding water to the gum resin so that the turpentine oil distilled over at a lower temperature; cold water was also used as a coolant for the collecting vat. The process was monitored by testing the resin at intervals. Ninety pounds of turpentine oil and 470 pounds of residual colophony were obtained from 570 pounds of starting material. De Mayerne recommended that the solvent be redistilled before use. Lavender, or spike, oil had slightly different solvent properties – it would, according to Pomet, dissolve sandarac resin – but its recommended uses in painting were similar to those of turpentine.

During painting, volatile solvents might be used to moisten the brush, particularly if the paint was too stiff. They also appear to have been employed to dilute blues, in particular, and also whites, so that the paint flowed from the brush easily while retaining a clean colour because the solvent evaporated so rapidly. It is quite possible that a diluent of this type was used in the rather thin greyish-blue paint of the cloudy sky over Juno’s head in Pieter Lastman’s Juno discovering Jupiter with Io (Fig. 2). The paint was much leaner than the white highlight paint on a trailing stem of foliage on the right of the painting, although both contained linseed oil.

Conclusion
It must be remembered that this is a comparatively limited study; nevertheless, certain features of painting practice appear to be common to Rembrandt and to the circle of painters associated with him. It seems that Rembrandt largely relied on the use of a simple oil medium, generally linseed oil, occasionally modified by heat-bodying; linseed oil was also that most commonly used by his associates and pupils. The production of an impasto by the use of heat-bodied oil or, alternatively, by bodying the paint with pigment, also appears to be general.

Rembrandt generally achieved his desired effects of translucency or body in the paint by mixtures of pigments; painters in his circle were inclined to employ small additions of pine resin varnish instead – or, perhaps, as well – to obtain the translucency and gloss they desired. This is the principal difference between Rembrandt’s practice and that of many of the other painters in his circle. There is no evidence so far to suggest the addition of substantial quantities of resin to the oil medium.

Any painter would have been aware that certain pigments were poor driers. Rembrandt offset this by including pigments that dried well in his mixture.
Occasionally painters of his circle, for example Lievens and Bol, seem not to have taken adequate precautions to ensure drying of pigments like asphaltum and pitch (which Rembrandt appears not to have used), resulting in the paint film defects that are apparent today.

Note
Some of these analytical results were first presented in a paper given at Rembrandt: The Master and his Workshop. A Symposium on Recent Technical Research, held at the National Gallery on 22–23 May 1992.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Artist</th>
<th>Picture</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Oil</th>
<th>Treatment/Additives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Pieter Lastman         | Juno discovering Jupiter with Io, NG 6272                               | c. 1618 | 1. White highlight on stalk of foliage  
2. Dark green foliage, right-hand edge  
3. Thin, greyish blue of clouds | Linseed  | None                                             |
| Rembrandt              | Anna and the Blind Tobit, NG 4189                                       | c. 1630 | 1. Dark brown paint of Anna’s chair  
2. Brownish purple of Tobit’s robe  
3. Green leaf on branch outside window  
4. White paint of sky through window | Linseed  | None                                             |
| Jan Lievens            | Self Portrait, NG 2864                                                  | c. 1638 | 1. Yellow highlight of silk garment, right-hand edge  
2. Black background paint, heavy shrinkage  
3. Blue-white highlight of seat of chair | Linseed  | Softwood pitch; heat-bodie |
|                        | A Landscape with Tobias and the Angel, NG 72                           | early 1640s | 1. Mustard earth, left-hand edge  
(hard and compact)  
2. Dark brownish green of trees, thick with signs of shrinkage  
3. Impasto lump of cream highlight of rocky path | Linseed  | None                                             |
| Ferdinand Bol          | Portrait of a Young Lady with a Fan, NG 3656                           | 1645–50 | 1. White, left cuff  
2. Yellow highlight on curtain, slight impasto  
3. Brownish-black shadow on curtain | Walnut | Heat-bodie |
|                        | An Astronomer, NG 679                                                  | 1652  | 1. Warm white of sleeve  
2. Orange tunic  
3. Black gown (shrinkage) | Linseed  | Heat-bodie; amber varnish |
| Govert Flinck          | Self Portrait aged Twenty-four, NG 4068                                 | 1639  | 1. Olive-green background, left-hand edge  
2. Black paint of sitter’s coat, bottom edge | Linseed  | None                                             |
| Gerbrand van den Eckhout | Four Officers of the Amsterdam Coopers’ and Wine-rakers’ Guild, NG 1459 | 1657  | 1. Grey background  
2. Black paint of cloak | Linseed  | None                                             |
|                        | A Woman scraping Parsnips, with a Child standing by her, NG 159        | c. 1655 | 1. Dark brown shadow of sideboard | Linseed  | None (beeswax linseed contamination) |
| Jan Victors            | A Village Scene with a Cobbler, NG 1312                                 | c. 1648–51 | 1. Warm white impasted highlight on earth, lower edge  
2. Dark brown shed  
3. Blue-grey sky | Linseed  | Heat-bodie |
| Nicolaes Maes          | Interior with a Sleeping Maid and her Mistress, NG 207                 | c. 1655 | 1. Thin white paint of plate  
2. Red-brown floor tile | Linseed  | None (possible diluent?) |
|                        | A Woman scraping Parsnips, with a Child standing by her, NG 159        | c. 1655 | 1. Dark brown shadow of sideboard | Linseed  | None                                             |
| Attributed to Willem Drost | Portrait of a Young Woman with her Hands folded on a Book, NG 257     | c. 1653–4 | 1. White impasted highlight of tassel  
2. Black of dress, no shrinkage | Walnut  | None; bodied with pigment |
| Follower of Rembrandt  | A Young Man and a Girl playing Cards, NG 1247                          | 1650s  | 1. Red of dress  
2. White dry impasto of scarf  
3. Mustard highlight, chair’s finnt | Linseed  | Some pine resin; bodied with pigment |
| Imitator of Rembrandt  | A Study of an Elderly Man in a Cap, NG 2539                           | 1650–1700 | 1. Greenish-brown background  
2. Buff paint of highlight on sitter’s right shoulder | Linseed  | None                                             |
| Follower of Rembrandt  | A Man seated reading at a Table in a Lofty Room, NG 3214              | 1650–1720 | 1. Rich white impasto of illuminated wall  
2. Rich, thick black paint from tablecloth | Walnut  | Pine resin; heat-bodie |
| Follower of Rembrandt  | A Seated Man with a Stick, NG 51                                       | 1660–80 | 1. Pinkish-brown fur on robe, mid left-hand edge  
2. Pale buff impasto stroke, same area  
3. Black background, left-hand edge | Linseed  | None; partially heat-bodie |
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