

THE  
NATIONAL  
GALLERY

LUCAS CRANACH  
THE ELDER  
Portrait of Johannes Feige

Susan Foister

*From National Gallery Catalogues  
The German Paintings before 1800*

© National Gallery Company Limited  
ISBN: 9781857099195

Published online 2015; publication in print forthcoming  
[nationalgallery.org.uk](http://nationalgallery.org.uk)

Lucas Cranach the Elder  
NG 1925 *Portrait of Johannes Feige*

## Lucas Cranach the Elder

NG 1925

### Portrait of Johannes Feige

Possibly early 1530s

Oil on lime panel, 40.7 x 26.3 cm (overall size including additions 42.3 x 28.5 cm),  
painted surface approximately 39.3 x 25.2 cm

### Signature and Date

Signed top left with Cranach's serpent insignia facing left with elevated wings; the date is only partly composed of original paint.

### Provenance

According to previous gallery catalogues the picture was in an anonymous sale of 1902 at Christie's, London, but the sale has not been identified.<sup>1</sup> It entered the collection of John Postle Heseltine (1843–1929), an etcher of landscapes and collector, who was a Trustee of the National Gallery from 1893; he presented it to the Gallery in 1903.<sup>2</sup>

### Exhibitions and Loans

Long-term loan to Graves Art Gallery, Sheffield, 12 September 1966–13 February 1974; 25 March–21 August 1975 and 28 July 1978–29 September 1993; Basel 1974 (610); Vienna and Munich 2010–11 (62).

### Copies and Versions

A portrait of Johannes Feige attributed to Johann Heinrich Tischbein (1751–1828), Museum für Kunst und Kulturgeschichte, Marburg, University of Marburg (inv. no. 4100 appears to be based on NG 1925 (see below).

### Technical Notes

#### *Conservation*

In 1929 a vertical split in the panel near the left edge was mended. The painting was cleaned and restored in 1974. In 2010 a non-original reinforcing wooden framework was removed from the back.

#### *Condition*

The picture is in quite good condition. There is damage to the paint on the left associated with the split, and further scattered losses, including some in a circular shape in the coat of arms top right and a larger one in the sitter's neck.

The winged serpent used as Cranach's signature is thinly painted but intact; on examination with a microscope, the paint appears to include some lead-tin yellow. In

the area of the date only fragments of original paint survive, also apparently including lead-tin yellow. The shapes of the numbers now visible have been made by incising the background adjacent to the fragments of paint to reveal the light ground and wood in order to create the date 1524. Not enough original paint remains to be certain of the date: the digit which has the most paint is the 5; the penultimate digit only has paint across the top and so might originally have been a 2, 3, 5 or 7; only tiny traces remain of the 1 and of the last digit.

The coats of arms are also somewhat damaged. In that on the left the female demi-figure wears something green on her head but damage makes it impossible to identify. Her left arm is missing, but a patch of original green paint suggests that it may have been raised like the right, which is holding up a branch with green leaves.

#### *Materials and technique*

The *support* is a limewood (*Tilia* sp.) panel with vertical grain.<sup>3</sup> The thickness is 1.1 cm. The panel is formed from two boards; the join in the middle of the panel is 12.6 cm from the right edge. Around all four edges of the back of the panel is a rebate about 0.8 cm wide where the wood has been reduced in thickness to 0.5 cm. Balsa blocks have been attached in the rebates to bring the thickness of these areas up to that of the main part of the panel. The non-original reinforcing wood that was removed in 2010 had been slightly inset into the back of the panel and so now there is a border about 3.6 cm wide around three sides of the panel where the wood is 0.1 cm thinner and some worm channels are visible. On the left, a split had been mended using a wider piece of wood, but this has been replaced with a thin piece of balsa to reinforce it.

On the back of the panel is a handwritten inscription in black paint reading 'H: Doctor Lüther/1778'. A label handwritten in ink reads 'Francis von Sickingen/by/L Cranach'.

The *ground* is white and consists of chalk (EDX and FTIR analysis). There is no sign of canvas between ground and panel, but there are tangled fibres visible in the X-radiographs which must be either below or within the ground. The ground and paint end with a *barbe* at the top, bottom and right edges, leaving a narrow strip of bare wood which would have been covered by an engaged frame (the left edge is more damaged and repaint extends to the panel edge). In places the ground has seeped under the frame onto the wood. No underdrawing was detected by examination with infrared reflectography, nor were any traces revealed by examination with the microscope in areas such as the contours of the face, which might be expected to be underdrawn.

The *medium* of the black background paint was identified by GC–MS analysis as heat-bodied linseed oil.

### *Painting technique*

The paint is generally thin and skilfully worked wet-in-wet in places, for example in the decoration on the purse and the hair. In the black paint, in addition to the black pigment, some potassium zinc sulphate, probably a form of white vitriol, is present as an additive.<sup>4</sup>

### **Subject**

The sitter's hair is grey-brown and his eyes are blue. He wears a high-necked white shirt under a black, diamond-patterned coat. A grey metal-framed purse made from a black textile hangs from his belt. The coat of arms top left is described as '*Coupé: au 1 d'argent aux trois figes de vert; au 2 de gueules*' and that top right as '*De gueules á la fasce d'or, accompagné de trois oeillets[?] au naturel*'.<sup>5</sup> The coat of arms on the left is surmounted by a crest of an imperfectly preserved female demi-figure possibly crowned with green and holding a green object (see Conservation and Condition, above), probably a branch with green leaves; that on the right by two wings which repeat the gold band or fess and flowers of the coat of arms.

The coat of arms upper left closely resembles that of Johann Feige, Chancellor of Hesse (1482?–1543). 'Feige' is the German word for fig. On 29 December 1517 the Emperor Maximilian bestowed on Feige a grant of arms identical to that shown upper left. It has been suggested that the arms upper right may represent those of his mother, Margaret Mehrgart.<sup>6</sup> Feige's date of birth is estimated from the knowledge that he was studying at the University of Erfurt in 1503. He was made chancellor of Hesse on 6 July 1514 and remained so to the end of 1542. He served the Landgrave Philip the Magnanimous of Hesse, on whose behalf he carried out diplomatic missions. He became on 1 July 1527 the first chancellor of the Protestant Marburg University, founded in the same year by the Landgrave Philip, and remained chancellor until 1536. Feige moved in the Protestant circles that patronised Cranach and is likely to have known the artist.<sup>7</sup> It is plausible that Feige, one of his Protestant associates, or even the Landgrave Philip, commissioned a portrait from Cranach.

A portrait at the University of Marburg attributed to Johann Heinrich Tischbein (1751–1828) and given a date of 1771/2 is said to represent Feige.<sup>8</sup> Although it shows the subject against a background of a curtain and a library, holding a book in his left hand, the portrait not only bears a strong facial resemblance to the National Gallery portrait but also shows the sitter in a tunic with exactly the same pattern. On the table is a document showing two coats of arms, the one on the right showing the three figs as

here, the one on the left bearing a strong resemblance to the coat of arms on the right of NG 1925. The portrait therefore must be based on NG 1925, or on a copy or a variant of it. It appears to have been always in the university collections and was presumably commissioned to commemorate its first chancellor. Von Brockhusen suggested that the portrait might have been made in 1777, the 250th anniversary of the university, and that NG 1925 had been lent for this purpose by the Dr Luther whose name is inscribed with the date 1778 on the reverse.<sup>9</sup>

Levey, believing the date of 1524 to be reliable, thought the sitter here too old to be Feige, who would have been about forty-three in 1524.<sup>10</sup> However, more recent examination has shown that there is little or no original paint in the positions of the digits (see Technical Notes). The traces of the third digit of the date originally inscribed, which gives the decade of the portrait, indicate it could have been a 2, 3, 5 or 7. If the last two are excluded, since Cranach died in 1553, and the style and appearance of the sitter seem to belong to a period earlier than the very end of his career, the date of the portrait would be either 152[?] or 153[?]. Although the style of the subject's hair and dress perhaps indicate the earlier of the two dates, as the sitter is not a young, fashionable man, a date in the early 1530s cannot be excluded; Feige would then have been about fifty-three, an age which might accord better with the sitter's apparent age. The sitter in this portrait is therefore plausibly identified as Johann Feige.

#### *False identifications*

An inscription on the back of the panel, apparently in a nineteenth-century hand, identifies the sitter as Francis von Sickingen, the anglicised first name indicating that this was inscribed when the painting was in an English collection (see Provenance). Franz von Sickingen (1481–1523) was a soldier, and leader of the German knights warring against the Emperor Charles V in the early 1520s.<sup>11</sup> A portrait of von Sickingen said to be by Cranach is recorded in the ducal collection in Karlsruhe by 1844, but this appears to have been a larger portrait which was recorded in an engraving by Ernst Fries and should not be identified with the National Gallery work, as formerly suggested.<sup>12</sup> An etching of von Sickingen by Hieronymous Hopper made between 1528 and 1563 shows a bust-length figure in profile wearing armour.<sup>13</sup> His hairstyle and prominent chin show a faint resemblance to the figure in the National Gallery portrait, and may have led to a nineteenth-century identification of NG 1925 or a version of this portrait as von Sickingen.

Another inscription on the back of the picture reads 'H. Doctor/Lüther 1778', which seems to have suggested an identification for the sitter as a member of the Luther family. It has also been interpreted as a provenance, with the suggestion that the portrait might have been owned by the lawyer Carl Friedrich Luther (1741–1797) of Darmstadt, but this cannot be confirmed.<sup>14</sup> There is some slight resemblance to the

portraits of Hans Luther, father of Martin by Cranach, a drawing in the Albertina, Vienna, and a painting in Eisenach, Wartburg Stiftung, dated 1527.<sup>15</sup> The portraits are only superficially similar: the eyes, eyebrows and noses of the sitters differ considerably, and Hans Luther is clearly an older man.

### Attribution and Date

The portrait has generally been accepted as the work of Cranach himself.<sup>16</sup> Details such as the fine, swift brush strokes creating the highlighted curls of the sitter's hair and the economical, deftly painted highlights of the gleaming metal purse frame are clear indications of Cranach's authorship. As discussed above, the date of the portrait must be either 152[?] or 153[?]. If the identification of the sitter as Johann Feige is accepted it is reasonable to date it to the early 1530s.

### General References

Levey 1959, pp. 19–20; Friedländer and Rosenberg 1978, no. 181, p. 105; Koeplin and Falk 1974–6, vol. II, no. 610, p. 692.

### Notes

---

1. According to Levey 1959, p. 20, the sale was mentioned in Gallery catalogues up to 1929, but he failed to identify it (in fact, it appears only in the 1915 catalogue); it does not appear identifiably in any sales catalogues of the period preserved in the National Gallery. Earlier catalogues gave the provenance of the picture as Dr Luther 1778, information which appears to derive from the inscription on the reverse of the picture; see further above, False Identifications.

2. Heseltine's interests as a collector included both medieval and modern art, and he had a particular interest in El Greco: see Penny 2008, p. 474; also Campbell 2013.

3. Confirmed by Peter Klein: report of 18 April 1995 in the NG dossier. The 1959 catalogue erroneously identified the wood as beech.

4. Potassium, zinc and sulphur were detected by EDX analysis in the black paint. White vitriol is zinc sulphate, and potassium zinc sulphate could be another form of it. A small but increasing number of occurrences of both of these compounds have been reported in paintings from across Europe. White vitriol is mentioned in documentary sources in recipes for the preparation of oils and also as an additive on the palette. For a more detailed discussion see Dunkerton and Spring 2013, esp. pp. 24–5 and notes 67–73. See also the entry for Cranach's *Portrait of a Woman* (NG 291) [[http://www.nationalgallery.org.uk/paintings/lucas-cranach-the-elder-portrait-of-a-woman/\\*x/145/y/-92/z/2](http://www.nationalgallery.org.uk/paintings/lucas-cranach-the-elder-portrait-of-a-woman/*x/145/y/-92/z/2)]

5. Levey 1959, pp. 19–20.

6. Von Brockhusen 1968, p. 125.

7. NDB 24, 201, 313–14.

8. Marburg University inventory no. 4100. The modern label attached to the picture frame reads 'Johann Feige, Kanzler der Universität bei ihrer Gründung im Jahre 1527'. See von Brockhusen 1968, p. 123, who first made the connection to NG 1925. The Tischbein family were natives of Marburg, although Johann Heinrich Tischbein was born in nearby Haina. If correctly dated to 1771/2 the portrait would have been an early work before the artist moved to Rome.

9. Von Brockhusen 1968, p. 127.
10. Levey 1959, p. 20: 'The date on the picture does not seem to have been tampered with.'
11. NDB 24, 313 ff. ADB 34, pp. 151–8.
12. Heller 1844, p. 58: 'Franz von Sickingen. Auf Holz; H. 3 Sch. 13. Br. 1 Sch. 73'. As a *Schuh* is a unit of measurement equal to approximately one foot, this suggests that the Karlsruhe painting was considerably larger than NG 1925 (see further above, False Identifications). A further reference which may be to the same painting engraved by Ernst Fries (d. 1833) (although the size differs again) occurs in Paulus 1822, p. 943: 'Wir möchten kaum zweifeln, dass das schöne Bild von Franz von Sickingen, wovon Hr. Winter, ebenfalls, nach einer vom Original genommenen Zeichnung des Hern. Fries, in dem Reformationsallmanach 1819 einen Kupferstich nehem liess, auch ein Oelgemälde von Meister Lucas sey. Auch dieses ist nun in der Privatsammlung Sr. K.H. des Grosherzogs [*sic*] aufbewahrt.... Das Bild des edlen, mächtigen Ritters, Franciscus von Sickingen is auch auf Holz, 2 Schuh 1 Zoll Höhe, 1 Schuh 7 Zoll Breite. Ein verkleinertes altes Gemälde von dem selben, diesem ganz ähnlich, besitzt noch Hr. Winter.' The Fries engraving shows von Sickingen to the left wearing a large plumed hat. The absence of a hat in NG 1925 suggests that the Karlsruhe painting is not the same work. Levey 1959, p. 20, note 1, refers to a communication to the Gallery mentioning that the engraving of von Sickingen after Cranach published in 1819 in the *Reformationsallmanach* is not related to NG 1925.
13. Hollstein 70.1, Bartsch VIII.533.65; examples are in the British Museum and in the National Portrait Gallery archive.
14. In the 1929 and earlier catalogues the provenance was given as from the collection of Dr Luther, information presumably derived solely from a reading of this inscription. It was also suggested in the 1929 catalogue that the portrait might show a member of the Luther family, presumably again on the basis of this inscription. For the possible identification of Dr Luther see von Brockhusen 1968, pp. 126–7, according to whom this Luther was the descendant of the Frankfurt printer Christoph Egenolff (1502–55), whose associate Andreas Kolbe set up the first university press in Marburg. Von Brockhusen noted that no probate inventory for Luther exists which might have shown he owned a portrait of Johann Feige.
15. For the painting see Koeplin and Falk 1974, vol. II, no. 613, fig. 341, and for the drawing no. 615, fig. 343.
16. Friedländer and Rosenberg 1968, no. 181; Levey 1959, pp. 19–20; Koeplin and Falk 1974, vol. II, no. 610, p. 692.